

Optical Flame Detection for Tank Farms

www.micropackfireandgas.com

Fires pose a significant risk to tank farms and the Large Atmospheric Storage Tanks (LASTs) that they house.

While currently, linear heat detection systems are the industry standard for LAST fire safety, recent incidents have demonstrated that a better solution is needed.

Optical Flame Detectors coupled with modern 3D mapping software can quickly identify small fires from longer distances, while Intelligent Visual Fire Detectors (IVFDs) with 3D hazard mapping offers even greater results.

In what follows, we explore these innovative approaches to fire safety and argue that common barriers to their adoption are now less prohibitive.

Fires in Large Atmospheric Storage Tanks (LASTs)

The sheer size of LASTs - often more than 60 m in diameter - makes extinguishing and controlling a fire in the event of an outbreak extremely challenging.

When grouped together, there is an even greater risk of a large fire spreading between LASTs.

This can have catastrophic consequences, both in terms of safety and commercial impact. Blast waves, damage to neighbouring communities and costly product damage are all plausible results.

Recent incidents

The industry has been alerted to the severity of fire risks, due largely to some notable tank field disasters which have occurred over the past two decades.

These include the 2005 Buncefield Explosion (UK), the 2009 Caribbean Petroleum Tank Terminal Explosion (Puerto Rico) and, more recently, the 2019 Intercontinental Terminals Company (ITC) Deer Park Fire (Texas).

The case for change

Although industry-wide changes to safety measures were introduced following the incidents of 2005 and 2009, the recency of the 2019 event demonstrates the need for greater protections.

Currently, the industry relies largely on the use of linear heat detection systems, which monitor LASTs' rim seals and the top of tanks. These are prone to damage, false alarms, and crucially, failure.



The common causes of LAST fires

Research has shown that LAST fires are most commonly caused by lightning strikes, which account for roughly 50% of all tank field fires. Secondary causes include static electricity, leaks

and live ruptures.

While some in the industry claim that fires of this nature can be avoided with improved design, construction and health and safety protocols, the fact remains that accidents and mistakes will continue to happen.

We can never pre-empt human error or equipment failure, which is why it's important to be able to quickly identify a fire as soon as it has broken out.

An alternative to linear heat detection

It's clear that better methods of LAST fire monitoring, rapid detection and mitigation are needed.

While linear heat detection is the most common approach to fire safety in LASTs, advanced fire detection methods represent an enhanced safety approach.

Micropack believes strongly in the use of Optical Flame Detectors placed within tank farms and at the rim of LAST seals, coupled with 3D mapping software to achieve optimal coverage.

Optical flame detection and mapping tools

Industry-standard Optical Flame Detectors like IR3s can identify small fires at distances of over 30m, and large fires at significantly greater distances.

For smaller fires, their detection distances and timescales compare favourably to those of Linear Heat Detectors.

When coupled with modern mapping software like HazMap3D, the advantages of modern fire detection systems in comparison to heat detection methods are clear to see.

Combining optical detectors with 3D mapping software helps LAST operators to:

- Verify adequate coverage
- Identify coverage gaps and perform iterative detector layout adjustments to resolve them

Intelligent Visual Flame Detectors (IVFDs)

The benefits of Optical Flame Detectors plus 3D mapping software can be further improved with the use of IVFDs. This system uses pixel analysis algorithms to identify fires based on radiation intensity, flicker frequency and radiation source shape.

IVFDs are not easily deceived by water, rain, fog, snow or dirty optics.

Where desired, a live feed can be viewed directly by operators. This feature is ideal for tank farm facilities without automated fire suppression systems in place, due to the high cost of false alarms. Instead, operators can visually verify a fire within seconds of viewing a live feed displaying the issue identified by the detector and manually trigger a safety response.

Using IVFDs and 3D mapping can achieve superior fire detection rates and response times to traditional Optical Flame Detectors and Linear Heat Detectors.

Removing barriers to adoption

Despite their benefits, certain factors associated with optical flame detection systems for LASTs are viewed as barriers to their adoption.

While valid, these potential barriers are now less significant than some might realise.

Efficacy

Previously, there were some concerns within the industry about the possibility of false alarm triggers and the inadequate coverage of very large tanks when using Optical Flame Detectors.

Advances in detection systems and 3D mapping tools now allow detector coverage to be assessed objectively, meaning coverage should no longer be a significant concern.

Faster Detection Times

To combat the problem of false alarm expenses, some tank farms delay or even remove their automated fire suppression systems. But an immediate response is necessary to tackle a fire. With delay comes a higher risk of disaster.

Optical flame detection systems are likely to detect a fire faster and earlier than Linear Heat Detectors: within approximately 10 seconds of a very small fire developing. Smaller fires might not trigger heat detection alarms, but it is in these early stages of a fire that the problem can be best resolved.

Lower Maintenance Costs

While it's certainly true that Optical Flame Detectors cost more than Linear Heat Detectors, they are cheaper to operate and maintain.

With Optical Flame Detectors, there is a lower risk of damage, as they can identify flames from a safe distance. Some are also designed to survive direct flame impingement, especially important when used at the top of LASTs near their seals.

Linear Heat Detectors must be replaced when safety responses are triggered. Given the fact that false alarms are common with heat detectors, equipment replacement and associated labour costs can be very expensive.

If you think safety is expensive, try having an accident.

Trevor Kletz

Optical flame detection solutions are already in use at several Micropack client facilities to protect LASTs of up to 100 m in diameter (for reference, most LASTs have a diameter of between 76 - 91 m).

The linear heat detection method isn't working. We believe that optical flame detection is the solution.

Combining Optical Flame Detectors and 3D mapping software is a more efficient approach to LAST fire safety than linear heat detection. Intelligent Visual Flame Detectors plus 3D mapping offers even greater reliability.

GET IN TOUCH

If you'd like to discuss Micropack flame detection products and systems for tank farms, we encourage you to get in touch to discuss your project and offer a reliable solution.

MICROPACK (ENGINEERING) LTD.

FIRE TRAINING CENTRE, SCHOOLHILL, PORTLETHEN, ABERDEEN, AB12 4RR

P. +44 (0)1224 784 055 E. SALES@MICROPACK.CO.UK

MICROPACKFIREANDGAS.COM

MICROPACK DETECTION (AMERICAS) INC.

800 TOWN AND COUNTRY BLVD, SUITE 500, HOUSTON, TX 77024

T. +1 346-230-4660 E. INFO@MICROPACKAMERICAS.COM

MICROPACKFIREANDGAS.COM

30+

OVER 30 YEARS PRODUCTS & SERVICES

> MICROPACK FIRE & GAS A Consilium Group Company

50% REDUCTION IN CAPEX/OPEX

WHEN CARRYING OUT F&G MAPPING



\$3 MILLION SAVED PER DAY

IN LOST PRODUCTION THROUGH THE USE OF VISUAL FLAME DETECTION